

Date of Meeting: 2019 05 07

Item #: 5.0

	☐ Administrative Council	☑ Program and School Services Advisory Committee				
REPORT TO:	☐ Policy Working Committee	e □ Planning and Priorities Advisory Committee				
	☐ Board	□ Other:				
	□ PUBLIC	□ IN-CAMERA				
TITLE OF REPORT:	French Immersion Enrolme	ent Pressures Review Committee Update				
		Sheila Builder, Superintendent of Student Achievement Andrew Canham, Superintendent of Student Achievement				
PRESENTED BY:	Kevin Auckland, Learning					
	Sarah Folino, Associate, R Christie Kent, Planner	esearch & Assessment				
	•	Kim Robertson, SOQP Practicum Candidate				
PRESENTED FOR:	☐ Approval					
Recommendation(s):						
Purpose:		To provide information, by way of an update, from the French Immersion Enrolment Pressures Review Committee and seek input from the Board of Trustees.				
Content:		e presented to the Board of Trustees as an opportunity to ttee's work and current options being considered. The				
	committee representatives will al	so be seeking input from the Board of Trustees at this point in				
		I help to inform our work in May and June, and throughout the nal report to the Board of Trustees at the October Board				
	meeting.					
Cost/Savings:	N/A					
Timeline:	April 23, 2019: Administrative Co May 7, 2019: Program & School					
	September: Administrative Coun					
	October Board meeting					
Communications:	Administrative Council Program & School Services Advi	isory Committee				
Appendices:	PowerPoint Presentation					
Stratogia Briavity Avac(a)	<u> </u>					
Strategic Priority Area(s):		omed, respected and valued as partners.				
Relationships:	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Promote and build connections to for	ster mutually respectful communication among students, families, staff				
	and the broader community. ☑ Create opportunities for collaboration	and partnerships				
	☑ Create opportunities for equitable access to programs and services for students.					
	Students and all partners feel heard,	• •				
	☑ Programs and services embrace the culture and diversity of students and all partners.					
Achievement and Well-	Being: ☐ Staff will demonstrate excellence in instructional practices.					
Being:						
	☑ Enhance the safety and well-being of	f students and staff.				

Form Revised October 2018

French Immersion Enrolment Pressures Review Committee Update 2018-2019

Purpose: To share initial options, as prepared by the committee, to address enrolment pressures and staffing challenges with respect to French Immersion programming in TVDSB and seek input from the Board of Trustees on other possible options to consider.

Brief Background

At the December 2018 Board meeting, it was determined that a committee would be created to review the enrolment pressures that exist in the Thames Valley District School Board's Elementary French Immersion schools.

- The committee is comprised of:
 - 2 Superintendents
 - ▶ 1 Learning Supervisor- Languages Portfolio
 - ▶ 11 Principals both elementary and secondary Principals of French Immersion schools
 - 2 FSL Learning Coordinators
- Representatives also include staff from the following system-level departments:
 - Human Resources
 - Facilities Services
 - Research & Assessment

Essential Agreements

When reviewing the current situation in our Elementary TVDSB French Immersion schools, and in preparation to share recommendations for options to address enrolment pressures and staffing challenges, the committee developed Essential Agreements to guide the discussions and drive the decision-making for the recommendations:

Essential Agreements

In TVDSB, we agree to:

- Continue providing French Immersion, as an optional program, for students in our board;
- Continue to provide a high-quality French Immersion program for our students, that is staffed by educators who are qualified and proficient in French.

The committee has explored and reviewed four options to date:

- 1. Establish Grade 1 as the entry point for all elementary FI schools in the board
- 2. Eliminate Extended Immersion in grades 7 and 8
- 3. Create dual track schools in high pressure enrolment areas*
- 4. Cap enrolment for all elementary FI schools (based on a school's OTG capacity)

Note:

- In addition to looking at enrolment issues, we are also reviewing and revisiting FI programming; for example, the 80/20 rule for French instruction is also being considered in order to address staffing pressures.
- The committee recognizes that dual track schools may be required to deal with enrolment pressures and staffing challenges in some areas of TVDSB.

Option 1: Establish Grade 1 as the entry point for all elementary FI schools in the board

☑ Enrolment pressure

☑ Staffing pressure

Pros	Cons	History	Data/Research
 Honours the Kindergarten program as a two year program Less students in FI schools to accommodate purpose-built spaces Requires fewer qualified French staff (ECE and teacher) Transportation costs may decrease 	 Delays the students' entry/access to the second language environment Kindergarten Fl teachers will need to be placed or reassigned 	 No precedent in TVDSB for this Other boards have entry at K1 and grade 1 	 Approximately 16 boards allow initial entry at grade 1 The Full-Day Early Learning Kindergarten Program was developed as a two year program^{1,2} Previous research suggested that a critical period exists for acquiring a second language³. More recent studies have shown that this period lasts much longer than previously indicated^{4,5}.

Option 2: Eliminate Extended Immersion in Grades 7 and 8

☑ Enrolment pressure

☑ Staffing pressure

Pros	Cons	History	Data/Research
 Based on 2019-2020 numbers, 11 French qualified teachers in elementary would be available for other positions in FI schools Reduces enrolment in the schools offering the program The program is often a grade 7/8 split which is challenging for students who are new and those who have attended for one year Student data show a large number of Extended students not staying in FI in grade 8 and beyond 	• Students will only have ONE opportunity to access the program (grade one); this is the case in some boards	 In TVDSB, the extended program is being offered in fewer FI schools than in the past - currently 7 of 11 FI schools offer the program 	 Approximately 54% of extended students enrol in a French Immersion program in secondary school (compared to 71%-74% of FI students from K2/gr.1 entry)

Option 3: Create dual track schools in high pressure enrolment areas

☑ Enrolment pressure

☐ Staffing pressure

Pros	Cons	History	Data/Research
 Fills the empty pupil places in English track schools Reduces the enrolment pressures in overcrowded FI schools Transportation costs may decrease (not bussing as many students to FI schools) Creates greater opportunity to offer students and staff access to French Immersion in their community school 	 Does not allow for a fully immersive environment Still have to staff the same FI positions - just in different buildings 	 Historically, TVDSB moved away from offering dual track schools in the past, with our recent enrolment increases due to migration/ immigration, this is not sustainable with the increased enrolment in certain areas of the board; this has required us to rethink more flexible program offerings for FI 	 Research has shown that students in single-track schools may be exposed to more French but show no differences in their use of the language or in their satisfaction with the program compared to students in dual-track schools⁹ Other research suggests higher achievement in some areas for students in single track schools¹⁰

Option 4: Capping Enrolment based on a school's OTG capacity

☑ Enrolment pressure

☐ Staffing pressure

 Will address the enrolment pressure in all FI schools (proactive measure as many schools are over 100% capacity) Not paying to transport as many students to FI schools often with larger catchment areas Requires a lottery (or similar) system to determine which students are "allowed" to attend the FI school - Equity issue No precedent for this in TVDSB, with the exception of Lester B. Pearson, as an optional program school Different schools have different OTGs - inequitable How to address siblings in this model could be a challenge 	Pros	Cons	History	Data/Research
	 enrolment pressure in all FI schools (proactive measure as many schools are over 100% capacity) Not paying to transport as many students to FI schools often with larger 	similar) system to determine which students are "allowed" to attend the FI school - Equity issue Different schools have different OTGs - inequitable How to address siblings in this model	in TVDSB, with the exception of Lester B. Pearson, as an optional program	of Ontario, some boards use a lottery (or similar system) to register students in

Timeline

- Share with Administrative Council for input/ advice (April 2019)
- Share with Program & School Services Advisory Committee for input/advice (May 2019)
- Continue to explore recommended options (May-September 2019)
- Final report of recommendations to Administrative Council (September 2019)
- Final report of recommendations to Board of Trustees (October 2019)
- Preparation and planning for changes in 2020-2021 (November 2019-June 2020)
- Recommended changes implemented (September 2020)

Questions?

References

¹Pascal, C. (2009). With our best future in mind: Implementing early learning in Ontario. Retrieved April 16, 2019, from https://www.oise.utoronto.ca/atkinson/UserFiles/File/Resources Topics/With Our Best Future In Mind - Charles Pascal.pdf

²Ministry of Education (2016). The Kindergarten program. Retrieved April 16, 2019, from https://files.ontario.ca/books/edu the kindergarten program english aoda web oct7.pdf

³Johnson, J. S. (1992). Critical period effects in second language acquisition: The effect of written versus auditory materials on the assessment of grammatical competence. *Language Learning*, *42*(2), 217-248.

⁴Dekeyser, R., Alfi-Shabtay, I., & Ravid, D. (2010). Cross-linguistic evidence for the nature of age effects in second language acquisition. Applied Psycholinguistics, 31(3), 413-438.

⁵Hartshorne, J. K., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Pinker, S. (2018). A critical period for second language acquisition: Evidence from 2/3 million English speakers. Cognition, 177, 263-277.

⁶Day, E. M., & Shapson, S. (1988). A comparison study of early and late French immersion programs in British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Education, 13(2), 290-305.

⁷Genesee, F., & Jared, D. (2008). Literacy development in early French immersion programs. Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne, 49(2), 140-147.

⁸Hakuta, K., Bialystok, E., & Wiley, E. (2003). Critical evidence: A test of the critical-period hypothesis for second-language acquisition. Psychological Science, 14(1), 31-38.

⁹Kissau, S. P. (2003). The relationship between school environment and effectiveness in French immersion. Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics/Revue Canadienne De Linguistique Appliquee, 6(1), 87-104.

¹⁰Guimont, G. (2003). French immersion in different settings: A comparative study of student achievement and exemplary practices in immersion centres versus dual- and multi-track schools. Available from ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global (305292038).

Thames Valley District School Board (2015). French Immersion program review. Retrieved April 16, 2019, from https://calendar.tvdsb.ca/board/Detail/2015-02-24-1900-Board-Meeting/650a1634-a386-4ce8-a18c-a85f00fbed09